IS 9/11 STILL RELEVANT TODAY?

Without 9/11, the War on Terror, as we know it, would not exist.

Do you know the cost of the War on Terror overseas?

- 1.2 million dead in Afghanistan and Iraq [psr.org] with 7.6 million refugees/displaced [/costofwar] (ref. below).
- 10.9 million refugees/displaced in Syria [mercycorps.org].
- Health problems from oil burning, spills and depleted uranium.
- Drone civilian deaths (Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia).
- New terrorist groups in conflict countries (Iraq, Libya, Syria).

Destruction, misery, death and always more terrorists to fight.

Do you know the cost of the War on Terror on America?

- $4.4 trillion taken from people of America for wars in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan. [watson.brown.edu/costofwar]
- $3.6 trillion unfunded projects in U.S. [asce.org/infrastructure]
- 6,800 military killed, 1 million disability claims [/costofwar]
- Americans complicit in Illegal war of aggression against Iraq (Kofi Annan), the supreme international crime (Nuremberg ).
Worsened costs, resources at home and moral authority abroad.

Do you know the cost to American civil liberties?

- Indefinite detention and assassination of Americans are now permitted without charge or trial (NDAA 2012-15).
- Intelligence services engage in massive-scale collection of American private communications [eff.org/nsa-spying].
- Intelligence officials lie about this under oath to Congress with no perjury prosecutions (James Clapper, Keith Alexander).
- Investigations/prosecutions are instead reserved for Americans reporting government crimes (Sibel Edmonds, Thomas Drake, William Binney, John Kiriakou).

Governments have historically used domestic spying to suppress internal dissent, not to protect the people.
**IS THE WAR ON TERROR BASED ON A LIE?**

Do you know about World Trade Center Building 7? [1]

- It was the third WTC skyscraper to collapse on 9/11.
- It was not hit by an airplane.
- It is officially the only skyscraper to ever collapse from fire.
- The collapse looks like a controlled demolition.
- It fell at sudden, widespread, symmetric, free fall for 8 stories, hitting only air on the way down. [2]
- The building had 82 steel support columns holding it up.
- External forces removed these to achieve free fall.
- High-impact, globally-synchronized forces were used to achieve suddenness and symmetry.
- This is not consistent with fire damage.
- This is consistent with controlled demolition.

*Building 7 fires and collapse on September 11, 2001.*
Do you know the history of skyscraper fires? [1]

- Hundreds of skyscraper fires per year.
- No complete collapse from fire before or after 9/11.
- Experimental tests of fire on steel show slow, local, asymmetric deformations -- no sudden or symmetric global failures.

Fires don’t cause complete skyscraper collapse.

Other skyscraper fires with no collapse.

Do you know about NFPA-921 [3]?

- National standard for fire and explosion investigations.
- The government did not follow its guidelines: [4]
  - Destroyed evidence (against protests to preserve it).
  - Failed to test for explosives and incendiaries.

South Tower (left) and North Tower destruction matches NFPA-921 definition of explosion “high-order damage,” dictating need to test for explosives. [3][5]
Do you know about the NIST Building 7 investigation? \([6][7]\)
- The definitive government explanation. \([1]\)
- Reported that Building 7 destroyed by low-temperature fire.
- Based on a computer model, not an NFPA-921 investigation.
- NIST denied FOIA request for model details.
- Claimed releasing would “jeopardize public safety.” \([8]\)

We are told to believe NIST on faith, not evidence.

Do you know that the NIST Building 7 model is wrong? \([9][10]\)
- A recently-released structural drawing (Frankel #9114) shows steel flange stiffener plates welded to a girder flange in the path of the NIST computer model’s initiating failure event.
- The NIST model uses air to represent these stiffener plates.
- A child could explain to NIST why a girder flange pushing through air is not the same as one pushing through steel.
- This is one of multiple reasons the model is invalid \([9]\).

The invalid NIST model invalidates the NIST report.

In the NIST model initiating failure (left), thermally-expanding floor beams push a girder web (blue) off its seat. The bottom flange (orange) fails, causing the web to drop downward ([7b], Sec. 11.4.1). In reality (right), steel flange stiffeners (also blue) would have resisted the failure. Thus, these stiffeners need to be in the model.
Do you know about Building 7 free fall? \[2][11]
- Free fall was discovered by a high school physics teacher.
- NIST denied free fall before eventually admitting it.
- NIST provided no evidence-based explanation.

Multiple steel columns suddenly behaving like air, and at the same time, has never been explained by fire.

Do you know about the evidence of molten metal? \[11\]
- Molten metal was seen pouring from South Tower (fig. left).
- Melted Building 7 steel was studied by FEMA (fig. middle).
- NIST ignored or used speculation to “explain” these. \[12\]
- Molten metal also found under building debris piles (fig. right).
- NIST denied molten metal under debris piles, before admitting and then dismissing as not important. \[12][13\]
- NFPA-921: if find molten metal then test for incendiaries. \[3\]
- The government did not test for incendiaries. \[12\]

The WTC fires were not hot enough to melt steel \[12\], so what melted the steel?
Do you know about direct evidence of incendiaries?[^11]

- Iron-rich spheres in WTC dust have thermite signature.[^14]
- Red-gray chips in dust have nano-thermite structure.[^14]
- The chips, when ignited, produce molten iron that cools as spheres like those found in WTC dust.[^14]
- Nano-thermite is capable of demolition.[^14][^15][^16]
- The government did not test for nano-thermite.[^12]

“For the third time today, it’s reminiscent of those pictures we’ve all seen too much on television before, where a building is deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down.” ~ Dan Rather, CBS News, describing Building 7 on 9/11

Do you know about news media reports during 9/11?

- Reporters reported explosions.[^17]
- They described Building 7 as a controlled demolition.[^17]
- They reported its collapse before it happened.[^11]

First-day observations precede organized spin control.

Do you know about news media reports after 9/11?

- Building 7 collapse is not shown on television.
- Evidence for controlled demolition is ignored.
- People discussing evidence are “conspiracy theorists.”

Do you know that U.S. intelligence services have a history of influencing news reporting? (See Operation Mockingbird.)
All available evidence tells us that the following things are true:

• The Building 7 collapse is not consistent with fire damage.
• The collapse is consistent with controlled demolition.
• The government explanation for the collapse is wrong.

As critical-thinking adults, we are left to conclude that a new, independent 9/11 investigation is needed to resolve this.

The 9/11 Truth Action Project (911TAP.org) is a 501(c)(3) non-profit formed from the action teams of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth to spearhead the drive for this investigation.

PLEASE JOIN US BY SIGNING THE PETITION: 911TAP.org/petition